



DISEC

Andrés Eduardo Castro

Index

1. Introduction to DISEC
2. **Topic:** Achieving Nuclear Weapon Disarmament in the 21st Century
 - 2.1. Introduction to the Topic
 - 2.2. Historical Context
 - 2.3. Current Situation
 - 2.4. *Subtopic 1:* Strengthening Arms Control and Non-Proliferation Mechanisms
 - 2.5. *Subtopic 2:* The Impact of Emerging Technologies on Nuclear Disarmament
 - 2.6. Key Terms
 - 2.7. Guiding Questions
 - 2.8. Positions
3. Useful Resources
4. References



DISEC

1. Introduction to DISEC

The Disarmament and International Security Committee (DISEC) is one of the six main committees of the United Nations General Assembly. It addresses a wide range of political and security issues, including disarmament, international conflict resolution, and challenges to global security.

While DISEC covers various topics, the committee often devotes significant attention to the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and conflicts that pose threats to international peace and security. The committee's discussions focus on fostering dialogue, strengthening treaties, and building frameworks for disarmament and conflict prevention.

Key areas of focus include nuclear non-proliferation efforts, counterterrorism strategies, and the prevention of violations of international law. By addressing both traditional and emerging security threats, DISEC aims to contribute to a more stable and secure international environment.

DISEC

2. Topic: Achieving Nuclear Weapon Disarmament in the 21st Century

2.1 Introduction to the Topic

Nuclear weapon disarmament remains one of the most critical global security concerns of the 21st century. Since the development and

use of nuclear weapons in World War II, these weapons have posed an existential threat to humanity. The Cold War era saw a significant arms race, with countries amassing vast nuclear arsenals. Although various arms control agreements have since reduced global stockpiles, over 12,000 nuclear warheads still exist today, primarily held by the United States and Russia. Achieving complete disarmament requires a concerted effort from the international community to address both historical and contemporary challenges.

One of the major obstacles to nuclear disarmament is the security dilemma faced by nuclear-armed states. Many nations justify their possession of nuclear weapons as a deterrent against potential threats, creating a cycle where disarmament seems risky. Countries like North Korea have pursued nuclear capabilities as a means of securing their sovereignty, further complicating global efforts. Additionally, regional tensions, such as those between India and Pakistan, highlight the difficulty of eliminating nuclear weapons when they are perceived as essential to national defense. Without mutual trust and a clear framework for security, nations remain hesitant to relinquish their nuclear arsenals.

International treaties and agreements play a crucial role in promoting nuclear disarmament. The Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) has been a cornerstone of non-proliferation efforts since 1968, limiting the spread of nuclear weapons while encouraging disarmament. More recently, the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons (TPNW), adopted in 2017, represents a bold step towards a nuclear-free world by outlawing the development, possession, and use of nuclear weapons. However, major nuclear powers have not signed the treaty, limiting its effectiveness. Diplomatic negotiations and enforcement mechanisms remain key to strengthening these agreements and ensuring global participation.

Despite these challenges, technological advancements and changing geopolitical dynamics provide new opportunities for disarmament. The use of artificial intelligence and satellite surveillance can enhance verification mechanisms, ensuring compliance with disarmament agreements. Public pressure and advocacy from non-governmental organizations (NGOs) continue to push for stronger international action. As delegates of DISEC, it is imperative to assess these factors, explore viable solutions, and work towards a future where nuclear weapons no longer pose a threat to global security. Achieving nuclear disarmament will require sustained commitment, trust-building, and innovative diplomatic approaches.

2.2 Historical Context

The origins of nuclear weapons trace back to World War II, when the United States launched the Manhattan Project, a secret research initiative to develop atomic bombs. This effort culminated in the bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki in August 1945, resulting in immense destruction and loss of life. These events not only brought an end to the war but also demonstrated the catastrophic power of nuclear weapons, leading to widespread global calls for disarmament. However, rather than eliminating nuclear weapons, their development marked the beginning of an arms race that would shape international relations for decades.

The Cold War era (1947–1991) saw an unprecedented expansion of nuclear arsenals, particularly between the United States and the Soviet Union. Each superpower sought to outmatch the other in what became known as the nuclear arms race, leading to the accumulation of thousands of warheads. The Cuban Missile Crisis of 1962 brought the world to the brink of nuclear war, highlighting the dire consequences of

unchecked proliferation. In response to these threats, various arms control agreements, such as the Strategic Arms Limitation Talks (SALT) and later the Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty (START), were introduced to curb the stockpiling of nuclear weapons and prevent catastrophic conflict.

A significant step toward global nuclear disarmament came with the establishment of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) in 1968. The treaty, which entered into force in 1970, was designed to prevent the spread of nuclear weapons, promote peaceful nuclear energy use, and ultimately achieve disarmament. While the NPT has been instrumental in limiting nuclear proliferation, its effectiveness has been challenged by nations that have either refused to sign or later pursued nuclear capabilities, such as India, Pakistan, and North Korea. Additionally, concerns persist over whether nuclear-armed states are fully committed to their disarmament obligations under the treaty.

Despite these historical challenges, nuclear disarmament efforts continue to evolve. The end of the Cold War led to significant reductions in nuclear stockpiles, and international treaties such as the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty (CTBT) and the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons (TPNW) represent continued attempts to eliminate the nuclear threat. However, ensuring compliance and addressing new security concerns remain key hurdles. Understanding this historical context is essential for shaping modern disarmament policies and achieving a world free of nuclear weapons.

2.3 Current Situation

In the 21st century, nuclear weapon disarmament faces new challenges due to the emergence of additional nuclear-armed states and the risks associated with proliferation. Countries like North Korea

continue to develop their nuclear capabilities, defying international sanctions and agreements. In South Asia, the longstanding rivalry between India and Pakistan raises concerns about the possibility of a nuclear conflict. Additionally, the threat posed by non-state actors and rogue states seeking nuclear materials has increased the urgency for stronger global cooperation and enforcement mechanisms to prevent nuclear terrorism.

Complicating matters further, major nuclear powers are actively modernizing their arsenals instead of dismantling them. The United States, Russia, and China are investing in advanced nuclear warheads, hypersonic missiles, and new delivery systems, shifting the focus from disarmament to strategic deterrence. These developments not only undermine existing arms control agreements but also heighten global tensions, as nations perceive the need to maintain or expand their own nuclear capabilities. Without renewed commitment to disarmament and arms reduction treaties, the world risks entering a new era of nuclear competition.

Another growing concern in nuclear security is the risk of cyberattacks on nuclear command and control systems. As nuclear arsenals become increasingly reliant on digital technology, they become vulnerable to hacking attempts that could disrupt communication networks, cause false alarms, or even trigger unintended escalations. The potential for cyber warfare targeting nuclear infrastructure highlights the need for enhanced cybersecurity measures, international protocols, and robust safeguards to prevent catastrophic consequences.

Despite these challenges, advancements in nuclear technology present opportunities for peaceful applications, particularly in energy production, medicine, and scientific research. However, ensuring that nuclear technology is used responsibly requires strict oversight and

international regulation. Organizations such as the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) play a crucial role in monitoring nuclear programs and preventing the diversion of nuclear materials for weapons development. Strengthening these regulatory frameworks, promoting diplomatic dialogue, and reinforcing global non-proliferation efforts remain essential steps toward achieving meaningful nuclear disarmament in today's world.

2.4 Subtopic 1: Strengthening Arms Control and Non-Proliferation Mechanisms

Effective arms control and non-proliferation mechanisms are essential to achieving nuclear disarmament. Treaties such as the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) and the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty (CTBT) serve as cornerstones of global disarmament efforts, yet their effectiveness is often challenged by non-compliance and geopolitical conflicts. Strengthening these treaties requires renewed diplomatic engagement, enhanced verification measures, and accountability for violations. DISEC plays a pivotal role in supporting these efforts by encouraging states to commit to disarmament obligations and addressing concerns about treaty enforcement.

One of the key aspects of strengthening non-proliferation mechanisms is improving verification and transparency. Organizations like the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) work to monitor compliance with nuclear agreements, but ensuring complete transparency remains difficult due to political and military sensitivities. DISEC can support initiatives to enhance verification technologies, such as satellite monitoring and artificial intelligence-driven inspections, to ensure greater accountability. Additionally, the committee can explore measures to encourage non-signatory states to join disarmament

agreements, thereby reducing the risks of nuclear proliferation and moving closer to a world free of nuclear weapons.

2.5 Subtopic 2: The Impact of Emerging Technologies on Nuclear Disarmament

The rapid advancement of emerging technologies has introduced new complexities to nuclear disarmament efforts. Innovations such as artificial intelligence (AI), hypersonic missiles, and autonomous weapons systems have the potential to alter global security dynamics. AI-driven defense systems can increase the speed and automation of decision-making in nuclear arsenals, raising concerns about errors or unintended escalations. Hypersonic missiles, capable of evading traditional missile defense systems, further complicate strategic stability by reducing reaction times and making deterrence strategies less effective. These technological developments challenge existing arms control frameworks and necessitate new approaches to disarmament.

DISEC has an important role in addressing these challenges by advocating for international regulations on the development and deployment of advanced military technologies. Establishing global norms for AI in nuclear command systems, preventing an arms race in hypersonic weaponry, and ensuring that emerging technologies do not undermine disarmament efforts are critical areas of focus. The committee can also promote transparency in military advancements and encourage states to engage in diplomatic discussions to prevent the destabilizing effects of unchecked technological innovation in nuclear warfare. By proactively addressing these emerging threats, DISEC can help maintain global security and advance the broader goal of nuclear disarmament.

2.6 Key Terms

Nuclear-Armed States: Countries that possess nuclear weapons, including the U.S., Russia, China, France, the U.K., India, Pakistan, Israel, and North Korea.

Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT): A key international agreement aiming to prevent the spread of nuclear weapons, promote disarmament, and support peaceful nuclear energy use.

Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons (TPNW): A legally binding agreement that bans the development, possession, and use of nuclear weapons, though major nuclear powers have not signed it.

Modernization of Nuclear Arsenals: Efforts by nuclear states to upgrade their weapons, delivery systems, and command structures, complicating disarmament efforts.

Nuclear Deterrence: The strategic concept that nuclear weapons prevent war by ensuring mutually assured destruction (MAD) in case of conflict.

Nuclear Terrorism: The risk of terrorist groups acquiring nuclear materials or weapons, posing a major global security threat.

Cybersecurity Risks: The growing vulnerability of nuclear command and control systems to cyberattacks, which could lead to accidental launches or escalations.

Arms Control Agreements: Treaties such as START and the CTBT that aim to limit the number of nuclear weapons and prevent nuclear testing.

Verification and Compliance: The challenge of ensuring that countries adhere to disarmament treaties through inspections, monitoring, and enforcement mechanisms.

Geopolitical Barriers: Political rivalries, regional conflicts, and security concerns that prevent states from fully committing to nuclear disarmament.

2.7 Guiding Questions

1. What is your delegation's position on nuclear disarmament, and what steps has it taken to support international treaties?
2. How can the international community address the risks posed by rogue states and non-state actors in nuclear proliferation?
3. What measures should be implemented to ensure that advancements in nuclear technology are used solely for peaceful purposes?
4. How can cyber threats to nuclear facilities be mitigated through international cooperation and protocols?
5. What role should international organizations play in fostering dialogue and building trust among nuclear and non-nuclear states?

2.8 Positions:

The United States of America: As one of the largest nuclear-armed states, the U.S. plays a crucial role in global disarmament efforts. While it has participated in arms control agreements like the New START treaty with Russia, it has also invested in modernizing its nuclear arsenal, raising concerns about its commitment to disarmament. Domestically, there is a divide between those advocating for arms reductions and those prioritizing national security through nuclear deterrence.

European Union (Various Countries): The EU strongly supports nuclear disarmament and non-proliferation through diplomatic initiatives, including backing the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) and promoting the Iran nuclear deal (JCPOA). EU nations have urged nuclear-armed states to commit to reductions and have pushed for the universal adoption of the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons (TPNW), though they face opposition from NATO allies.

Developing Economies (Brazil, India, South Africa, etc.): While some developing countries support nuclear disarmament, others, like India, maintain nuclear arsenals for national security reasons. Brazil and South Africa, both signatories of the NPT, advocate for a nuclear-free world and emphasize the need for equity in disarmament talks, arguing that nuclear-armed nations must take the lead in reducing their stockpiles.

China: China supports nuclear non-proliferation and has historically maintained a smaller arsenal compared to the U.S. and Russia. However, it is currently expanding and modernizing its nuclear capabilities. While it calls for global disarmament, it also insists on maintaining a credible deterrent, making its role in negotiations complex.

Russia: Russia, like the U.S., possesses a vast nuclear arsenal and has engaged in arms control agreements such as New START. However, its geopolitical tensions with the West and recent developments, including threats of nuclear escalation, have strained global disarmament efforts. Russia argues that disarmament must be balanced with global security concerns, particularly in the face of NATO expansion and emerging military technologies.

3. Useful Resources

- UN Office for Disarmament Affairs (UNODA)
<https://www.un.org/disarmament/>
- UN Security Council Resolutions on Nuclear Non-Proliferation
<https://www.un.org/securitycouncil/>
- Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) – UN Information
<https://www.un.org/disarmament/wmd/nuclear/npt/>
- Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organization (CTBTO)
<https://www.ctbto.org>
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) – Safeguards & Non-Proliferation
<https://www.iaea.org>
- Nuclear Threat Initiative (NTI) – Reports & Global Nuclear Risk Analysis
<https://www.nti.org>
- Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) – Global Nuclear Weapons Data
<https://www.sipri.org/>
- Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists – Doomsday Clock & Nuclear Security
<https://thebulletin.org>
- Arms Control Association (ACA) – Nuclear Arms Control & Disarmament Reports
<https://www.armscontrol.org>
- Federation of American Scientists (FAS) – Nuclear Weapons & Disarmament Research
<https://fas.org/issues/nuclear-weapons/>
- Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) – Nuclear Proliferation & Policy Briefings

<https://www.cfr.org/nuclear-arms-control>

4. References

"United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs (UNODA)." *United Nations*, www.un.org/disarmament/.

"UN Security Council Resolutions on Nuclear Non-Proliferation." *United Nations*, www.un.org/securitycouncil/.

"Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) – UN Information." *United Nations*, www.un.org/disarmament/wmd/nuclear/npt/.

"Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organization (CTBTO)." *CTBTO*, www.ctbto.org.

"International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) – Safeguards & Non-Proliferation." *IAEA*, www.iaea.org.

"Nuclear Threat Initiative (NTI) – Reports & Global Nuclear Risk Analysis." *Nuclear Threat Initiative*, www.nti.org.

"Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI) – Global Nuclear Weapons Data." *SIPRI*, www.sipri.org/.

"Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists – Doomsday Clock & Nuclear Security." *The Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists*, thebulletin.org.

"Arms Control Association (ACA) – Nuclear Arms Control & Disarmament Reports." *Arms Control Association*, www.armscontrol.org.

"Federation of American Scientists (FAS) – Nuclear Weapons & Disarmament Research." *Federation of American Scientists*, fas.org/issues/nuclear-weapons/.

"Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) – Nuclear Proliferation &

Policy Briefings." *Council on Foreign Relations,*
www.cfr.org/nuclear-arms-control.

